

### REDUCE EMISSIONS WITH DISSOLVABLE PLUG TECHNOLOGY: Dissolvable Versus Conventional Plug



ERM The business of sustainability

## SIGNIFICANT AND SCALABLE EMISSION REDUCTIONS $O_{Nine}$

#### STINGER<sup>™</sup> Dissolvable Frac Plug



# DISSOLVABLE FRAC PLUGS ON A 6-WELL PAD TAKE 84 CARS OFF THE ROAD: $\sim 404\ METRIC\ TONS\ OF\ CO_2 E$



source: ERM





# NEUTRAL OR REDUCE

# The RR Reduced Emission of the Signal Signal

INCREASED

INCREASED

**SAFETY** with fewer humans at surface

# TAKEAWAYS

Nine conducted an analytical study with ERM to quantify cradle-to-grave emission reduction of a dissolvable versus a composite plug completion.

Dissolvable plugs reduce carbon emission intensity in a scalable way that can be applied on a per-well basis.

There is a significant and immediate reduction in greenhouse gas emissions when using a dissolvable plug versus a composite plug.

# **√91**%

By eliminating coil intervention entirely, dissolvables reduce carbon footprint by 91% or ~67.3 metric tons of  $CO_2e$ 

**↓18**%

Assuming a 3-day coil tubing cleanout run, dissolvables reduce carbon footprint by 18% or ~13.3 metric tons of CO2e

## **METHODOLOGY**



**Carbon footprint** is "the sum of greenhouse gas emissions and removals in a product system, expressed in  $CO_2e$  and based on life cycle assessment, considering a single impact category - Climate Change" (ISO 14067: 2013).

ISO 14067: Quantifying and reporting the carbon footprint of products

Established in 2013, the standard sets out four phases for the development of a carbon footprint study (in accordance with life cycle assessment studies):



# **SCOPE AND BOUNDARIES**





#### **Functional Unit**

One typical deployment and extraction/clean-out process of 70 plugs

#### Approach

Cradle-to-grave

#### Geographic Coverage

United States, Permian Basin

Scenario 1: Coil clean-out run (assumes 3 days of clean-out and 4 days for conventional drill-out) Scenario 2: Elimination of coil usage (assumes 4 days for conventional drill-out)

# COMPARATIVE EMISSIONS REDUCTION:

# **RESULTS (ELIMINATION OF COILED TUBING)** $O_{Nine}$

#### DISSOLVABLE WITH NO CLEAN-OUT VS. CONVENTIONAL DRILL-OUT PER WELLBORE



The life-cycle carbon footprint of the dissolvable plug would be **91% smaller per wellbore** than the conventional composite plug.

#### CARBON FOOTPRINT OF 70-PLUG DEPLOYMENT IN METRIC TON CO<sub>2</sub> EQUIVALENTS



# **RESULTS (ELIMINATION OF COILED TUBING)** $O_{Nine}$

#### DISSOLVABLE WITH NO CLEAN-OUT VS. CONVENTIONAL DRILL-OUT PER WELLBORE

This equates to ~67.3 metric tons of  $CO_2e$  or 14 passenger cars driving per year.



# **RESULTS (ELIMINATION OF COILED TUBING)** $O_{Nine}$

#### CARBON FOOTPRINT OF 70-PLUG DEPLOYMENT IN METRIC TON CO<sub>2</sub> EQUIVALENTS PER WELLBORE



Assumes 4 days for conventional drill-out

# **RESULTS (DISSOLVABLE WITH CLEAN-OUT)**



#### **DISSOLVABLE CLEAN-OUT VS. CONVENTIONAL DRILL-OUT PER WELLBORE**



The life-cycle carbon footprint of the dissolvable plug is **18% smaller per wellbore** than the conventional composite plug.

#### CARBON FOOTPRINT OF 70-PLUG DEPLOYMENT IN METRIC TON CO<sub>2</sub> EQUIVALENTS



# **RESULTS (DISSOLVABLE WITH CLEAN-OUT)**



#### **DISSOLVABLE CLEAN-OUT VS. CONVENTIONAL DRILL-OUT PER WELLBORE**

This equates to ~13.3 metric tons of  $CO_2e$  or 3 passenger cars driving per year.



# **RESULTS (DISSOLVABLE WITH CLEAN-OUT)**

#### CARBON FOOTPRINT OF 70-PLUG DEPLOYMENT IN METRIC TON CO<sub>2</sub> EQUIVALENTS PER WELLBORE



Assumes 3 days of clean-out and 4 days for conventional drill-out

line